



## Artemis

### SOLUTION

Observation: Let  $f(x, y)$  be the number of trees below and to the left of  $(x, y)$ . Then the number of the trees in the rectangle bounded by  $t_1$  and  $t_2$  is

$$f(t_1.x, t_1.y) + f(t_2.x, t_2.y) - f(t_1.x, t_2.y) - f(t_1.y, t_2.x) + 1$$

if  $t_1$  lies below and to the left of  $t_2$  (or vice versa), and a similar formula if not.

1. Trivial algorithm. Loop over all rectangles, and loop over all trees to count those inside the rectangle.

$$O(n^3)$$

2. Use dynamic programming to compute  $f(t_1.x, t_2.y)$  for every  $t_1, t_2$ . Then evaluate all rectangles using the formulae.

$$O(n^2), \text{ but also } O(n^2) \text{ memory}$$

3. Place an outer loop  $t$  over the trees, representing one corner of a potential rectangle. To evaluate rectangles with corners at  $t$ , one only needs  $f(t.x, *)$  and  $f(*, t.y)$ . These can be computed with DP as in algorithm (2), and requires only linear memory.

$$O(n^2)$$

4. Sort the trees from left to right, and then process them in that order. As each new tree (say  $t_n$ ) is added, it is inserted into a list of current trees that is sorted vertically. From this information one can calculate  $f(t.x, t_n.y)$  and  $f(t_n.x, t.y)$  for every  $t$  to the left of  $t_n$ , in linear time. Then one can evaluate all rectangles with one corner at  $t_n$ . This ends up being very similar to algorithm (3).

$$O(n^2)$$

5. Algorithm (1), but with optimised counting. As a pre-process, associate a bitfield with each tree representing which trees lie below and to the right, and a similar bitfield for trees below and to the left. The trees inside a given rectangle may be found as the binary AND of two bitfields. A fast counting mechanism (such as a 16-bit lookup table) will accelerate counting.

$$O(n^3) \text{ and } O(n^2) \text{ memory, but with low constant factors}$$